

DECISION NOTICE OF THE Cabinet Member Signing HELD ON Monday, 5th January, 2026

Set out below is a summary of the decisions taken at the Cabinet Member Signing held on Monday, 5 January 2026.

If you have any queries about any matters referred to in this decision sheet please contact Richard Plummer Committees Manager.

6. KENNETH ROBBINS HOUSE - CORRECTION OF CONTRACTOR'S NAME

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST MADE FOR THIS ITEM:

None

RESOLVED:

That the Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning (Deputy Leader):

1. Approved the correction of the contractor's name in the Cabinet reports and approved minutes dated 29 October 2024 and 06 October 2025.
2. The contractor's name had previously been recorded as "United Living"; however, approval was required to amend the records to reflect the contractor's full name as stated in the contract award letter dated 31 December 2024. The contractor's full name was recorded as: "United Living (South) Limited."

Reasons for decision

On 08 April 2024, the Council had received tenders from eleven bidders for the Major Works Programme at Kenneth Robbins House. Following a comprehensive evaluation process, the contract was formally awarded to United Living (South) Limited. This was confirmed in the contract award letter dated 31 December 2024. The contract award letter was attached at Appendix A of the report.

The Cabinet report and approved minutes dated 29 October 2024 had recorded the contractor's name as "United Living." This had been identified as an error that required correction to ensure Council records were accurate and reflected the contractor's full legal name. A subsequent Cabinet report and approved minutes dated 06 October 2025 had also referred to "United Living" as the named contractor. This was likewise noted as an error requiring correction, as the full name of the contractor had not been stated in the report.

The contractor's full name was recorded as: "United Living (South) Limited." Following discussions with Legal and Democratic Services, it had been confirmed that the correction of the contractor's name did not nullify or materially impact the decisions made by Cabinet. The report referred to the same contractor, with the same scope and value of works as detailed in the original cabinet reports. The error in the

recorded name was therefore regarded as a misnomer, which could be rectified by way of a non-key decision.

7. STELLAR HOUSE - CORRECTION OF CONTRACTOR'S NAME

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST MADE FOR THIS ITEM:

None

RESOLVED:

That the Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning (Deputy Leader):

1. Approved the correction of the contractor's name in the Cabinet reports and approved minutes dated 29 October 2024 and 06 October 2025.
2. The contractor's name had previously been recorded as "Equans"; however, approval was required to amend the records to reflect the contractor's full name as stated in the contract award letter dated 31 December 2024. The contractor's full name was recorded as: "Equans Regeneration Limited."

Reasons for decision

On 25 March 2024, the Council had received tenders from fifteen bidders for the Major Works Programme at Stellar House. Following a comprehensive evaluation process, the contract was formally awarded to Equans Regeneration Limited. This was confirmed in the contract award letter dated 31 December 2024. The contract award letter was attached at Appendix A of the report.

The Cabinet report and approved minutes dated 29 October 2024 had recorded the contractor's name as "Equans." This had been identified as an error that required correction to ensure Council records were accurate and reflected the contractor's full legal name. A subsequent Cabinet report and approved minutes dated 06 October 2025 had also referred to "Equans" as the named contractor. This was likewise noted as an error requiring correction, as the full name of the contractor had not been stated in the report.

The contractor's full name was recorded as: "Equans Regeneration Limited." Following discussions with Legal and Democratic Services, it had been confirmed that the correction of the contractor's name did not nullify or materially impact the decisions made by Cabinet. The report referred to the same contractor, with the same scope and value of works as detailed in the original cabinet reports. The error in the recorded name was therefore regarded as a misnomer, which could be rectified by way of a non-key decision.

8. TO AWARD A NEW ASBESTOS CONTRACT AND APPOINT A UKAS ACCREDITED ASBESTOS CONTRACTOR.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST MADE FOR THIS ITEM:

None

RESOLVED:

That the Cabinet Member for Housing & Planning and Deputy Leader:

1. Pursuant to Council's Contract Standing Order (CSO) 8.01 (use of Council Dynamic Purchasing System) and CSO 2.01(C) (Cabinet approved awards of contracts valued at £500,000 or more), approved the award of a contract to Tenderer A (for asbestos services including asbestos surveying, bulk sampling and air monitoring for the sum of £1,815,540.00, plus additional costs in relation to project-specific services for surveying, sampling and air monitoring, for a duration of up to four years from the commencement of the contract.
2. Approved the delegation of expenditure of the additional sums in relation to project-specific work under the contract, identified through surveys, to the Assistant Director of Repairs & Compliance in consultation with the Head of Finance as set out in the exempt part of the report.
3. Pursuant to Council's CSO 16.04, approved the issue of a letter of intent to the preferred contractor. This was for an amount up to, but not exceeding, £181,554.00, representing 10% of the surveying contract sum. This enabled mobilisation of the contract to be completed while the contract particulars were finalised.

Reasons for decision

Haringey Council had an obligation to comply with the Health and Safety at Work Act 1974 and all regulations that sit beneath it, such as The Control of Asbestos Regulation 2012. Due to these obligations, it was not possible to accommodate a break in the service provision for asbestos surveying and associated services. This was to ensure residents and other building users or visitors remained safe in relation to the existence and risks regarding asbestos.

It was therefore crucial that this contract was awarded to facilitate a seamless changeover between the old and new contracts by the end of December 2025.

Alternative options considered

Do nothing – This was not an option as it would have meant the Council was not able to deliver statutory services in accordance with current Health and Safety legislation.

Carry out the work through in-house resources – The Council was unable to deliver these works in-house due to a lack of suitably trained and certified operatives. There were not enough operatives, and the timescales required to recruit and train them would have resulted in the same outcome as set out above. Haringey Council did not carry out asbestos works in-house due to the insurance premiums associated with such works. Given the resourcing, necessary accreditations, training, consumables and general overheads involved in such a division, this was not seen as a viable option.

Use existing contracts or contractors – Current contracts had expired or reached extension limits. A new contract ensured compliance and up-to-date pricing.

10. EXEMPT - KENNETH ROBBINS HOUSE - CORRECTION OF CONTRACTOR'S NAME
11. EXEMPT - STELLAR HOUSE - CORRECTION OF CONTRACTOR'S NAME